

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,990	06/24/2003	Thomas J. Perkowski	100-059USA000	9745	
Thomas I Perk	7590 08/30/2007		EXAM	INER	
Thomas J. Perkowski, Esq. Thomas, J. Perkoswski, Esq. P.C. Soundview Plaza 1266 East Main Street Stamford, CT 06902			CARLSON,	CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,990	PERKOWSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> .					
·	, 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/4/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,990

Art Unit: 3622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern (US6591247) in view of Durst, Jr. et al (US6542933).

Stern teaches systems and methods for providing networked, in-store kiosks that can be used to deliver product information and advertisements. A centralized server (NMC/NOC 12/20) receives various types of content (ads, information, audio, video, etc) and makes the content available to various stores [fig 1]. Each store has plural kiosk sites 30 including a video screen, keyboard and light pen. The kiosks and servers are connected by way of IP protocol and/or the Internet [4:46-68]. A consumer can scan the UPC barcode of a product at the kiosk and receive advertising and information about the product [6:46-50, 7:14-17, 27-32, 48-50] which provides a positive brand experience. Stern teaches that the central database provides a UPC-indexed database of products that stores the relationship (via the tblUPCmaster table) between the UPC codes and the associated content (ads, information, audio, etc) associated with that product [8:10-25]. Stern teaches that the database provide information and advertising regarding available products, not services. However, Official Notice is taken that Information and Marketing Systems typically deal with products and/or services and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have

Art Unit: 3622

provided the features of Stern with any items for sale, including services. The UPC codes of Stern are taken to be equivalent to applicant's USN in that they both provide an item identifier to be used for database lookups to retrieve and deliver advertising and item information. Regarding the first subsystem, Stern teaches that functionality is provided to input ads/information into the system [6:1-34]. The display of ads and information to the requesting user about the requested item is taken to provide a virtual kiosk that displays advertisements and information which are taken to promote the item. Stern does not teach a servlet and triggering HTML tag. Durst, Jr. et al also teaches a means for a consumer to scan a barcode (at a kiosk [8:1-3]) in order send a request to a centralized server for more information about the item scanned. Durst, Jr. et al teaches that the item identification/barcode is scanned into the a web browser and sent to the server. The server determines where the information file(s) are stored and either redirects/links the user to the URL of such information, or the server retrieves the information and delivers it to the requesting user's web browser [3:15-30, 66-67]. Durst, Jr. et al also teaches that because the barcode or other entered identifier does not include the URL of the content, that the server may provide the mapping between the UPC/USN/item identifier and the location of the content by way of a JAVA servlet [6:37-60]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided such a web-based item request and server delivery mechanism for providing advertising and information about the requested products. Any object in the submission web page (i.e. the well known submit button on a form such as in Durst, Jr. et al FIG 14) is taken to be an HTML tag which triggers the request for ads/information.

Art Unit: 3622

Any web page displaying any content about the requested item is taken to be a "virtual kiosk." Although any advertisement content is taken to promote the item advertised, therefore providing a promotion, Durst, Jr. et al teaches promotions explicitly which would have been obvious to have included with that of Stern to provide more positive branding. Stern teaches providing ads, audio, video and information about the item. Durst, Jr. et al teaches providing product and other types of information about products. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have returned a list of URL links to the user when the product requested is associated with plural content files (ads, promotions, information, warranty, etc), so that the user may choose which content to review. The systems of Stern and Durst, Jr. et al are taken to at least represent online item catalogs. Durst, Jr. et al teaches that plural servers may be provided to carry out the invention. The tags and servlet are automatically created in the proposed system of Stern/Durst, Jr. et al. The HTML documents (web pages) are taken to at least represent service-related documents.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 571-272-6716. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8a-5:30p, (work from home on Thursdays).

Art Unit: 3622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

jdc